Verification of DICAST Temperature Forecasts for Boulder Municipal Airport

Jeffery K. Lazo Raymond C. Lee Wei Qing Zhao Bin Zhang Xin







Data Provided / Questions to Answer



- Approximately 10 months of Max Air Temperature for 1 station (Boulder)
- 0, 1, 2, and 3 day forecasts with verifying observation
- Data valid: 20160610 to 20170415

- What were the general verification results?
- What difference occurs in the bias for individual months?
- For near freezing events that affect the airport, what is the performance of the model (-3 to +3C)?

For extreme temperatures,
what is the relative
performance of the model in
regards to electrical power
production costs?

	Day 0	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3
Mean Error (Bias)	-0.057	-0.056	-0.085	-0.071
ABS Mean Error	1.65	1.74	1.98	2.48
RMSE	2.38	2.53	2.74	3.27
Standard Deviation (Fcst)	10.23	10.05	9.90	9.88
Standard Deviation (Obs)	10.54	10.49	10.42	10.31
Standard Deviation (F-O)	2.38	2.53	2.74	3.27
Correlation Coeffiecient	0.974	0.970	0.965	0.948





Day 0 Scatter Plot Forecast -5 -10 -15 -15 -10 -5 Observation

leadtime_0day





Does the bias differ per month

Monthly BIAS for 24hr 201606-201704





Largest spread of observation shows in winter



Icing at Boulder Airport

- Performance of the model for days where the temperatures were between -3 and +3C
- Icing critical to plane safety and airport operations



- Most focus on forecasts for day of (day 0) and 24 hour lead time (day 1)
- High value of statistical life (~\$6M) greatly skews cost/lost equation to always de-icing the aircraft

Airport Results Day 0

Contingency table									
	ob(>-3 <3)	ob(>3 <-3)		Total					
fcst(>-3 <3)	8	4		12					
fcst(<-3 >3)	8	268		276					
Total	16	272		288					
Scores						dav	0		
Percent Correct	0.9583					uuy	Ū		
Hit Rate	0.5000		8						
False Alarm Rate	0.0147		6						
Bias (Frequency)	0.7500								
False Alarm Ratio	0.3333		4 -						
Threat Score	0.4000		2						
ETS	0.3793		-				•	Ĭ	•
		tion	0				-		
# correct (Random)	261.33	erva	2						
Fraction correct (Random)	0.9074	obse	-2	•	•) (
Heidke Skill	0.5500		-4						
Hanssen-Kuipers	0.4853						0	•	
			-6						
Extreme Dependency Score	0.6131		-8		_				
SEDS	0.6419								
EDI	0.7148	-	⊥ 10 10-) -8	-6 -4	-2	0	,	2
SEDI	0.7481					fo	recast		



• •



Airport Results Day 1

	Contingency Tak	ble					
	ob(>3 <3)	ob(>3 <-3)	total				
fcst[-3,3]	5	6	11				
fcst(>3 <-3)	10	261	271				
total	15	267	282				
Scores							
Percent correct	0.9433						
Hit rate	0.3333		5				
False Alarm Rate	0.0225		,				
Freq bias	0.7333		<u>،</u>				+
False alarm ratio	0.5455		1				
Threat Score	0.2381						
Equitable threat score	0.2163		-				
		ation ()				-
# Correct by chance	257.1702	Service and servic	2				+
Fraction correct by chance	0.9120		1		•		
Heidke Skill	0.3556						
Hanssen-Kuipers score	0.3109	-6)				-
		-8	3				+
Extreme Dependency Score	0.4551	-10	, 🕒				
Stable Extreme Dependency Score	0.4940		-10	-8	-(6	-4
Extremal Dependency Index	0.5510						
Symmetric Extremal Dependency Index	0.5786						





Electric Power Production

- Temperatures above 31C and below 0C show large increases in power usage for cooling or heating
- Every degree means about 100kWh extra per household
- Power cost per kWh in Boulder is about 9 cents (USD)





	Day 0	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3
Hit/CN \$	\$13.75	\$14.35	\$16.92	\$21.45
Miss \$	\$32.81	\$32.09	\$28.36	\$34.66
FA\$	\$30.35	\$36.98	\$32.15	\$36.21
POFD	0.0779	0.0948	0.0996	0.1004
FAR	0.0526	0.04	0.0638	0.0870
POD	0.7500	0.6857	0.6567	0.6462
Bias	0.7917	0.7143	0.7015	0.7077
CSI	0.72	0.6667	0.6286	0.6087
ETS	0.6543	0.5972	0.5569	0.5355
OR	35.5	20.82	17.30	16.35
SR	0.9474	0.96	0.9362	0.9130

Questions?





